ON FARM TRIAL 2018-19

On Farm Trials (Discipline-Wise Summary)

Discipline	Crop / Enterprise	Number of technology/ Social Concept		No. of	trials	% of achiev ement	Reasons for shortfall, if any
		А	R	Т	А		
Agronomy	Rice	1	-	3	3	100	-
	Maize + chickpea	1	-	3	3	100	-
Horticulture	French Bean	1	-	3	3	100	-
	Okra	1	-	3	3	100	-
Plant	Chilli	1	-	3	3	100	-
Protection	Brinjal	1	-	3	3	100	-
	Tomato	1	-	3	3	100	-
Plant Breeding	Rice	1	-	3	3	100	-
& Genetics	Mustard	1	-	3	3	100	-
Home Sc.	Jackfruit Chip prodn.	1	-	3	3	100	-
	Introdn. of Squash Bori	1	-	3	3	100	-
Animal Sc.	J. Quail	1	-	3	3	100	-
	Total	12		36	36		

DISCIPLINE - AGRONOMY

Title of OFT- 1. Evaluation of Modified SRI in rice crop

Сгор	Ma	ajor Problem diagnosed	Severity of the problem	Details of technology	Source of technology
Rice Var. Tampha phou	Due una ade qua org true not	e to availability of equate antity of anic manure, e SRI could be practiced.	60%	 i.Seed rate 7 kg/ ha ii.spacing 20 x 20 cm iii. organic manure- 10 tons/ha, iv.no of seedling/ hill -1 v. Age of seedling- 17-20 days, vi.Irrigation – intermittent wetting and drying vii.weed management- cono weeding + HW at 25-30 DAT viii.NPK-50% of recommended dose ie. 30:20:15 	Division of Agronomy, ICAR research complex NEH region Umiam Meghalaya , 2010
Area		1.25 ha			Jam .
No. of tria	als	3			







Y – yield(q/ha);- NR – net return (in 1,000); Tech-technology; FP – Farmers' practice

Remark for recommendation for FLD :-Recommended

Discipline -AGRONOMY

Title of OFT - 2. Performance on Intercropping of Maize with Chickpea

Сгор	Major Problem Diagnosed	Severity of Problem %	Details of technology
Maize Var-HQPM-1 Chickpea- JG-16	Farmers usually grow Chickpea as mixed crop which leads to crop competition thereby reducing yield, LER & yield equivalent ratio.	80 %	i. Intercrop ratio- 1:2(Maize:Chickpea) ii. Spacing : Maize-90 cm Chickpea-40 cm iii. NPK: 20:40:20 (chickpea) , 50:40:30 (maize)

No. of trials	3
Source of technology	ICAR ,IIMR,New Delhi,2012
Area	0.35 ha



Parameters	Technology	Farmer Practice
Plant Height (cm)	Maize- 180 Chickpea-45	Maize- 178 Chickpea-45
No. of branch/plant (Chickpea)	7	7
No. of pods/plant (Chickpea)	40	38
No. of grains/cob (Maize)	320	305
Yield(t/ha)	Maize- 2.48 Chickpea- 0.70	Maize- 1.86 Chickpea- 0.54
Net Return(Rs./ha)	50100	40500
B.C ratio	2.15	2.02
LER	1.52	1.00
Equivalent Yield kg/ha	1645	nil

Remark for recommendation for FLD :-Need repeatition as yield of maize could not be upto the expectation



NR – net return (in 10,000)



Discipline – PBG

Title of OFT - 1. Performance evaluation of direct seeded wet sown semi deep water Paddy

Crop Rice Var. CAUR-4

Major Problem diagnosed Less preference of existing variety due to its taste

Severity of the P	r oblem(%) - 60	No. of trials - 3	Source - CoA/CAU 2016
Details of Technology	i. Seed rate 60kg/ha ii. NPK- 30:40:20 (k iii. sowing time –Ma	g/ha) ay	

Area – 1.25 ha





Parameters of assessment	New technology (CAUR-4)	Farmer practice (Akutphou/KD 14-7-9))							
P.Ht(cm)- Grain/Panicle- Tiller No Duration- Husk color- Grain Type – Lodging-	160 198 15 150 days Reddish brown Medium slender Susceptible	155 160 11 145 days Reddish brown Medium Slender No lodging	250 - 200 - 16 150 - 100 -	59 ₅₅	198 55 160	3 160 15	159 ₄₅			CAUR-4 Akutphou
Production per unit (Q/ha)	49	45	50 -			¹⁵ 11		49 ₄₅	1.41	
Net return (Rs./ha)	21500	18500	0	PH	G/P	TN	D	Y	B:C	
B.C ratio	1.41	1.3	PH- nun	Plant nber; l	height (D-Durat	cm); G/ tion; Y-Y	P-grains ield (Q);	/panicl B:C – I	e; TN-till B.C Ratio	er
Remark	It is being repeat modification in the lodging in collab SMS(Agronomy)	ted this year with so fertilizer dose to che ooration with).	me ck							

Discipline – PBG

Title of OFT - 2. Performance evaluation of Zero tillage mustard (2nd year)

Сгор	Mustard Var. DRMR 150-35						
Major Problem diagnosed	nly a few short duration mustard variety (100 -115 days) are vailable suited to multiple cropping						
Severity of the pro	oblem(%) – 60% Source - ICAR, DRMR, Bharatpur 2015						
Details of Technology	 Seed rate -18 kg/ha NPK: :40:30:30 (kg/ha) ; N in two splits (first –before true leave, 2nd – preflowering 						
No. of trials -	3 Area - 1.25 ha						



Parameters of assessment	New technology (Zero tillage without burning paddy straw)	Farmer practice (after burning straw)							
PH(cm)	130	135	250 -						
Siliqua/plant-	208.6	208	200 -						
Seed/siliqua	6.6	7							
No. of branches-	3	3	150 -	_					■ \//D
Duration (days)	115	115	100 -						WthB
Production per unit (Q/ha)	8.8	8.82	50 -						
Net return	20710	18500	50						
BC ratio	1.84	1.85	0 —						
Remark	Recommended for	FLD		PH	SN	S/P	Br.	ND	



- WB -with burng paddy straw ,WthB Without burning ,PH- Plant height
- SN-Siliqua number ,S/P-seed per siliqua ,Br.branches/plant ,ND-No. of days

Discipline: Plant Protection

Title of OFT – 1. Mgmt. of *Fusarium* wilt caused by *F.oxysporium* & sp.*Lycopersici*

Crop	Tomato						
Major Problem diagnosed	<i>Fusarium</i> wilt of Tomato						
Severity of problem (%) - 60%							
Details of Technology	Spraying Tebuconazol 1 st spray 15 DAT 2 nd spray 40 DAT	Spraying Tebuconazole 250 EC[Folicur] @ 400 ml/ha 1 st spray 15 DAT 2 nd spray 40 DAT					
Source	IIVR, Varanasi, 2012	No. of trials -	3				
Area – 0.5 ha							



Parameter of assessment (damage %)	New Technology (Tebuconazol 250 EC[Folicur] @ 400 ml/ha)	Farmer Practice Thiophanate methyl (Roko)70%@15g m/15 I water ,400gm/ha	350 300 - 250 -		
i)% of infected plantsbefore spray-ii) No. of wilted plants25 DAT50 DAT	20.2 14.3 16.2	9.7 17.8 21.9	200 - 150 -		 New Technology Farmer Practice
Prodn. per unit	297	270	100 -		
Net return(Rs./ha)	506770	448320			
BC ratio	6.8	5.89	50 -		
Remark	Recommended fo	r FLD	0	rodn. per unit	Net return(Rs./ha)

Title of OFT: 2. Double poison baiting with 0.03757% coumatetralyl

Сгор	Major Problem diagnosed	Severity of the problem(%)	Details of technology	Source
Brinjal	Rodent infestation	70%	Double poison baiting with 0.03757% coumatetralyl	PAU,2013

No. of trials	3	
Area	0.5 ha	

Parameters of Assesment	Technology (0.03757% coumatetralyl)	Farmers Practice Bromadiolone cake(Roban)												
	Before treatment Damage % -18% By eating – 11% By Roting -7% After treatment Damage% - 4% By eating -2.7% By roting -1.3	Damage% - 5.66 By eating – 3.48 By rotting- 2.18	200 - 180 - 160 - 140 - 120 -						• T	Techno	Technology	Technology	Technology	Technology
Prodn./unit (q/ha)	178	168	80 - 60 -											
Net return	2,88,000	2,80,700	40 -											
Bc ratio	5.24	4.73	20 -											
Remark	May be recomment coumatetralyl is av	ided for FLD if /ailable	0 +	Proc	dn./unit (q/ł	na)	Net return							

Title of OFT – 3.Management of Thrips and Fruit borer of chilli

Crop	Major Problem Diagnosed	Severity of the problem(%)	Details of technology	Source
Chilli	Thrips & Fruit borer of Chilli	60%	Spinetoram 11.7 % Sc@ 60 gm ai/ha,three sprays at 15 days interval 20 days after transplanting)	Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapith
Area –	0.5 ha	No. of trials -	3	Rahuri,2011

Parameters	Mean population of thrips/leaf	% fruit borer	Yield (q/ha)	Net Return(Rs.	B.C Ratio
Technology (Spinetoram)	0.2%	0.08	48	188400	4.2:1
Farmers Practice (Dichlorvos 76% @ 10ml/15 l)	12%	6	44	168350	3.85:1

Remark for recommendation for FLD :-

Recommended

Parameters	Technology (Spinetoram)	Farmers Practice (Dichlorvos 76% @ 10ml/15 l)
Mean population of thrips/leaf	0.2%	0.08%
% fruit borer Yield(q/ha)	0.08 48	6 44
Net return(Rs.)	188400	168350
BC ratio	4.2	3.85

Discipline: Horticulture

OFT: 1. Performance evaluation of French Bean Var.Arka Arjun

Сгор	Major Problem diagnosed	Severity of the problem(%)	Details of technology	Source
French Bean Var.Arka Arjun	Stringless, tolerant to high temperature, bush type, resistant to Mite, type of varieties are not available	50%	i. Spacing-45 X 15 cm ii. NPK- 30:60:50 kg/ha as basal dose	IIHR,2016

Area - 0.5 ha

No. of trials - 3







Remark:-Recommended for FLD

Discipline: Horticulture

OFT: 2. Performance evaluation of Okra Var. Kashi Kranti

Сгор	Major Problem diagnosed	Severity of the problem (%)	Details of technology	Source
Okra Var. Kashi Kranti	Longer duration of existing variety	50%	i.Spacing -45 cm X 30 cm ii. NPK- 100:50:50 kg/ha as basal dose	IIVR,2014

Area	0.5ha		
No. of trials	3		







Parameters	Technology (Var. Kashi Kranti)	Farmers Practice (Var.Arka Anamika)	300 - 250 -				
Plant ht (cm)	200	250	200 -				
Fruit length(cm)	10	12					Technology
First harvest(days)	46	48	150 -	I			FP
Prodn (unit/ha)	86	81	EO				
Net return	163000	150500	50 -				
BC ratio	4.1	3.8	0 +	Pl. ht(cm)	First harvest(days)	Fruit length(cm)	Prodn. unit/ha

Discipline - Animal Sc.

Title of OFT- Effect of EM on Growth & Egg Production of Japanese Quail

Livestock	Major Problem diagnosed	Details of technology	Source
Japanese Quail	High Mortality % especially during early stage	Amount of EM-10ml/100 birds/day for first 10 day & continue after 20 days break for 10 days again	C.V.Sc AAU, 2016

Severity of the problem (%)	
No of bird/trials	200
No. of trials	3











Trails at different locations



Parameters	Age at 1 st lay(day)	Hatchability %	Survibilit y %	Net return	B.C ratio
With EM	50	82.8	92		1.6
Without EM	56	70	50		0.12

Remark:-Recommended for FLD

Discipline - Home Science

Title of OFT :1.Production of Chow Chow Bori

Crop/ Ma Enter Prob prises diagn		ijor Sevent olem the p nosed (erity of roblem %)	Details of t	Source		No. of Trials		
Chow- Chow Bori	High Cost of Blackgram bori		60%		Development squash (40 % with KMS @ 1 blackgram pas	Collegeof Home Science,Tura, Meghalaya, 2014		3		
Parameters		Product recover/kg		Cost/kg	Net return/Kg	B.C Ratio	% incr e a	ased	Remark	
Technology		400		60	100	2.6	37.5	Recommen		ded
Farmers Practice		250		80	70	1.8			for repeatition due to lack of consumer palatability taste	





Discipline -Home Science				Title of OFT -2. Production of Jackfruit Chips						
Enterpris es	erpris Major es Problem diagnosed		Severity of the problem (%)			Details of te	Source			
Jackfruit Chips	Keeping of fresh fruits highly perishable		70 % i. C like ii. B 1% iii. [utting longitudinally into finge pieces (4 x 1.5 cm slices) lanched in warm water with KMS for 1 min Deep fried			 r University of Agricultural Sciences Bangalore, 2014 		
Parameter	S	Gross retu	Gross return			Net return	B.C Ratio	Remark		
Technology		7,600/- (50 fruits	Rs.40)		3840	2.02	Recommend ed for FLD			
Farmers Practice		2000/- (50 fruits	@ Rs.40)						
And the second se								N. N.		

